Report #2: FY 2005 IHCIF - \$11,093,710 Allocatedby the Same 2 Tier Formula Adopted in 2003

Tier 1: \$5,546,855 for units scoring < 40%; Tier 2: %5,546,855 for units scoring 40% - 60%. Tier 3: 0\$ for units scoring > 60%									
AREA	OPERATING UNIT	FY 04 Users	FY 2004 LNF % Score	FY 2005 TIER 1 <40%	FY 2005 TIER 2 40%-60%	FY 2005 TIER 3 60%-100%	FY 2005 Allocation	Allocation Per User	REVISED LNF SCORE
Nashville	Alabama Coushatta	945	54%	\$0	\$2,810	\$0	\$2,810	\$3	55%
Nashville	Catawba	1,734	38%	\$49,806	\$17,854	\$0	\$67,660	\$39	39%
Nashville	Cayuga	156	53%	\$0	\$625	\$0	\$625	\$4	53%
Nashville	Cherokee	10,275	72%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	72%
Nashville	Chitimacha	471	83%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	83%
Nashville	Choctaw	8,944	72%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	72%
Nashville	Coushatta of Louisianna	569	44%	\$0	\$4,883	\$0	\$4,883	\$9	45%
Nashville	Creek	1,946	69%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	69%
Nashville	Maliseet	409	100% or more	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	100% or m
Nashville	Miccosukee	637	99%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	99%
Nashville	Narragansett	720	84%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	84%
Nashville	Oneida	1,797	55%	\$0	\$5,586	\$0	\$5,586	\$3	55%
Nashville	Onondaga SU	91	100% or more	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	100% or m
Nashville	Jena Band	134	81%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	81%
Nashville	Passamaquoddy of Indian Towns	743	100% or more	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	100% or m
Nashville	Passamaquoddy of Pleasant Poi	950	91%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	91%
Nashville	Penobscot	1,320	78%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	78%
Nashville	Pequot	974	49%	\$0	\$6,459	\$0	\$6,459	\$7	49%
Nashville	Seminole	3,907	64%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	64%
Nashville	Seneca	6,028	61%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	61%
Nashville	St Regis	4,258	57%	\$0	\$5,614	\$0	\$5,614	\$1	57%
Nashville	Tunica Biloxi	301	68%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	68%
Nashville	Mohegan	922	45%	\$0	\$8,494	\$0	\$8,494	\$9	46%
Nashville	Wampanoag	291	87%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	87%
Nashville	MicMac	520	100% or more	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	100% or m
Nashville Total		49,042		\$49,806	\$52,324	\$0	\$102,129		